Rachel Samuels
The University of Memphis
Campus Box 520054
Memphis, TN 38152

8517 '99 APR 20 AIG:26

April 15, 1999

Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305) Food and Drug Administration 5630 Fishers Lane Rockville, MD 20852

To whom it may concern:

My name is Rachel Samuels and I am writing to comment upon the issue concerning the labelling of irradated foods. To my understanding the word irradation means something is exposed or treated with radiation. If this is true, does it make sense to add this to our food? The answer is no. Our planet is already exposed to enough man made pollutants and toxins which are primarily found in the air and water. There are many incidents and consequences which I'm sure you are quite aware. Yet, since I can't change the fact that your agency has deemed irradation a safe process, I believe the consumer should choose based on their individual health preference.

The point is the consumer has a right to know if their food is exposed to this source. This process may have been proven safe for the short term, but what about the long term effects of irridation? Can your agency completely guarantee the consumer's safety? Your agency once supported cigarette smoking as something healthy. However, now you require labelling to show that it may cause several health risks. I believe the reason you allow this is due to the fact the FDA realizes people are going to choose what they are going to do with their bodies. Therefore, it is not logical to take away the consumers' decision about what they are going to eat.

Thank you for your time and for allowing me to comment on this matter. I hope that all the matters will be settled in a civilized and orderly manner.

Sincerely,

Rachel Samuels
College Student

9FN-1038

C761

Rachel Samuels The University of Memphis Campus Box 520054 Memphis, TN 38152





Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305)
Food and Drug Administration
5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061
Rockville, MD 20852